OXNARD DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES, AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND PARKING-IN-LIEU FEE UPDATE DRAFT

PRESENTATION

March 12, 2020 Slides Revised 3/18/20









PROJECT TEAM



Alison Bouley Senior Director Municipal and District Finance



AGENDA

- Development Impact Fees
 - Overview and Methodology
 - Growth Requirement Capital Fee
 - Parks and Recreation Fee
 - Storm Drainage Fee
 - Traffic Circulation Fee
 - Public Art Program Fee
 - Utility Undergrounding In-Lieu
 - Fee Comparisons
- Affordable Housing Fee
 - Methodology
 - Comparisons
- Parking In-Lieu Fee
 - Methodology
- Next Steps





DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES OVERVIEW

- Assembly Bill 1600 (Mitigation Fee Act)
- A One-time Fee on New Development to Mitigate Their Impacts
- Fees Can Only Fund Capital Costs
- Fees Cannot Fund Existing Deficiencies
- Fee Cannot Fund Ongoing Maintenance
- Fair Share Based on a Rational Nexus Test
- Must Meet Annual and Five-Year Reporting Requirements



METHODOLOGY GROWTH REQUIREMENT CAPITAL FEE

- Components
 - Government Facilities
 - Police Facilities
 - Fire Facilities
 - Cultural and Recreational Facilities
- Methodology
 - Existing Inventory Method



METHODOLOGY **GROWTH REQUIREMENT CAPITAL FEE - DRAFT**

	Government Facilities	Po	lice Facilities	Cultural & Recreational Facilities		
Value of Facilities (A)	\$ 111,634,507	\$	50,817,619	\$ 56,996,123	\$	96,331,802
Service Population (B)	236,623		236,623	236,623		236,623
Cost Per Capita (A / B = C)	\$ 471.78	\$	214.76	\$ 240.87	\$	407.11
Cost Per Worker (C X 0.5)	\$ 235.89	\$	107.38	\$ 120.44	\$	203.56

Note: Slide has been modified since presentation reducing the Government Facilities Fee







FEE CALCULATION GROWTH REQUIREMENT CAPITAL FEE - DRAFT

Government Facilities Fee Calculation

		Α	В	С	$= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}$
Land Use	Cost	per Capita ¹	Density	Fee (rounde	
<u>Residential</u>	<u>(Fee</u>	<u>per Dwelling</u>	Unit)		
Single Family	\$	471.78	4.31	\$	2,033
Multi-Family	\$	471.78	2.85	\$	1,345
<u>Non-Residential</u>	<u>(Fee</u>	<u>per 1,000 Sq</u>	<u>uare Feet)</u>		
Retail	\$	235.89	2.91	\$	686
Office	\$	235.89	3.47	\$	819
Industrial	\$	235.89	1.23	\$	290
	<u>(Fee</u>	<u>per Room)</u>			
Hotel	\$	235.89	0.87	\$	205

¹ Cost per worker is weighted at 0.5 that of a resident based on industry standards.

Note: Slide has been modified since presentation reducing the Government Facilities Fee



Police Fee Calculation

		Α	В	C	$= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}$
Land Use	Cost	per Capita ¹	Density	Fee	(rounded)
<u>Residential</u>	<u>(Fee</u>	per Dwelling	<u>Unit)</u>		
Single Family	\$	214.76	4.31	\$	926
Multi-Family	\$	214.76	2.85	\$	612
<u>Non-Residential</u>	<u>(Fe</u>	<u>e per 1,000 Sa</u>	<u>quare Feet)</u>		
Retail	\$	107.38	2.91	\$	312
Office	\$	107.38	3.47	\$	373
Industrial	\$	107.38	1.23	\$	132
	(Fe	<u>e per Room)</u>			
Hotel	\$	107.38	0.87	\$	93

¹ Cost per worker is weighted at 0.5 that of a resident based on industry standards.

FEE CALCULATION GROWTH REQUIREMENT CAPITAL FEE - DRAFT

Fire Fee Calculation

		Α		C	$= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}$
Land Use	Cost	per Capita ¹	Density	Fee	(rounded)
<u>Residential</u>	(Fee	<u>per Dwelling</u>	<u> Unit)</u>		
Single Family	\$	240.87	4.31	\$	1,038
Multi-Family	\$	240.87	2.85	\$	686
<u>Non-Residential</u>	(Fee	<u>per 1,000 Sq</u>	uare Feet)	<u>)</u>	
Retail	\$	120.44	2.91	\$	350
Office	\$	120.44	3.47	\$	418
Industrial	\$	120.44	1.23	\$	148
	<u>(Fe</u>	<u>e per Room)</u>			
Hotel	\$	120.44	0.87	\$	105

¹ Cost per worker is weighted at 0.5 that of a resident based on industry standards.



Cultural and Recreational Facilities Fee Calculation

	Α		В	$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}$			
Land Use	Cost p	er Capita ¹	Density	Fee	e (rounded)		
<u>Residential</u>	<u>(Fee p</u>	er Dwelling	<u>Unit)</u>				
Single Family	\$	407.00	4.31	\$	1,754		
Multi-Family	\$	407.00	2.85	\$	1,160		
<u>Non-Residential</u>	(Fee	per 1,000 Sqt	<u>uare Feet)</u>				
Retail	\$	203.50	2.91	\$	592		
Office	\$	203.50	3.47	\$	706		
Industrial	\$	203.50	1.23	\$	250		
	(Fee	<u>per Room)</u>					
Hotel	\$	203.50	0.87	\$	177		

¹ Cost per worker is weighted at 0.5 that of a resident based on industry standards.





FEE SUMMARY GROWTH REQUIREMENT CAPITAL FEE - DRAFT

Land Use	Gov Fa	ernment acilities] Fa	Police cilities	Fire	Fire Facilities		Cultural & Recreational Facilities		Administrative Fee (4%) ¹		Total
<u>Residential</u>	<u>(Fee p</u>	ver Dwelling	Unit	<u>t)</u>								
Single Family	\$	2,033	\$	926	\$	1,038	\$	1,754	\$	230	\$	5,981
Multi Family	\$	1,345	\$	612	\$	686	\$	1,160	\$	152	\$	3,955
<u>Non-Residential</u>	<u>(Fe</u>	<u>e per 1,000</u>	Buila	ling Squar	re Fee	<u>t)</u>						
Retail	\$	686	\$	312	\$	350	\$	592	\$	78	\$	2,018
Office	\$	819	\$	373	\$	418	\$	706	\$	93	\$	2,409
Industrial	\$	290	\$	132	\$	148	\$	250	\$	33	\$	853
	<u>(Fe</u>	<u>e per Room)</u>	-									
Hotel	\$	205	\$	93	\$	105	\$	177	\$	23	\$	603

¹ Administrative charge of 4% for (1) legal, accounting, and other administrative support and (2) Development impact fee program administration costs including revenue collection, revenue and cost accounting, mandated public reporting, and fee justification analysis.

Note: Slide has been modified since presentation reducing the Government Facilities Fee





METHODOLOGY **PARKS AND RECREATION FEE - DRAFT**

- Methodology
 - Facility Standard Method
 - General Plan sets standard as 3 acres of park per 1000 residents
 - Cost per resident converted to fee per unit using persons per household (PPH) Assumptions

Construction

Park Acres I

Cost per Re

neighborhood park).



n Cost per Acre ¹	Α	\$ 520,000
Required per 1000 Residents ²	В	3.000
esident	(A X B) / 1000 = C	\$ 1,560

¹Oxnard College Park estimate dated 6/24/2009, adjusted by the ENR CCI (+30.5%), rounded.

² 2030 General Plan Standard (Park Requirement is 3 Acres per 1,000 population; 1.5 acres of community park and 1.5 acres of



METHODOLOGY **PARKS AND RECREATION FEE - DRAFT**

		Α	B	A	$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$	C x	4% = D	(C + D
Land Use	Co Re	st per sident	Density (PPH) ¹	Construction Fee ²		Administrative Fee $(4\%)^2$		Total Fee	
<u>Residential</u>									
Single Family	\$	1,560	4.31	\$	6,724	\$	269	\$	6,993
Multi-Family	\$	1,560	2.85	\$	4,446	\$	178	\$	4,624

¹ Persons per household per US Census Data, 2019. ² Rounded.







METHODOLOGY STORM DRAINAGE FEE - DRAFT

- Methodology
 - Planned Facilities Method
 - Based on current adopted fee escalated by ENR
- City will be updating their Storm Drainage Master Plan



LondLa	Exis	ting 1991			
		Fee	Proposed Fee ¹		
<u>Residential</u>	<u>(Fee p</u>	ver Gross Acr	<u>e²)</u>		
Low Density Residential	\$	10,645	\$	21,263	
Medium and High Density Residential ³	\$	14,637	\$	29,237	
Commercial	\$	14,637	\$	29,237	
Office	\$	14,637	\$	29,237	
Industrial	\$	14,637	\$	29,237	
Hotel	\$	14,637	\$	29,237	

¹ Based on the ENR CCI for LA from September 1991 to January 2020.

² Includes area of on-site streets and alleys plus the area up to the center line of bordering streets and alleys.

³ Projects over 6 dwelling units per acre.



METHODOLOGY **TRAFFIC CIRCULATION FEE**

- Methodology
 - Planned Facilities Method
 - Improvements allocated between new and existing development
 - Existing fund balance ulletsubtracted from future costs
 - Allocated to land uses based on ITE ADT with reductions consistent with 2007 and 2009 Fee Resolutions
- Will be looking at adding a Mobility Fee





METHODOLOGY **TRAFFIC CIRCULATION FEE - DRAFT**

				Existing						
]	Fotal Project	Ľ	Development		Allocation to New		Cost Allocated to	F	ligible Project
Project		Cost		Share	Grant Funding	Development		New Development		Cost
Adaptive Traffic Signals	\$	1,527,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	5 1,527,000	\$	1,527,000
Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities	\$	1,462,630	\$	1,462,630	\$ 812,146	0%	\$	-	\$	-
C Street Bicycle Facilities Installation	\$	374,656	\$	374,656	\$ 306,075	0%	\$	-	\$	-
Etting Road Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities Installation	\$	1,239,000	\$	1,239,000	\$ 991,000	0%	\$	-	\$	-
Fifth Street & Pacific Avenue Traffic Signal	\$	700,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	5 700,000	\$	700,000
Harbor Boulevard Edison Canal Bridge Widening	\$	2,300,000	\$	2,300,000	\$ -	0%	\$	-	\$	-
ITS Master Plan Update	\$	250,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	5 250,000	\$	250,000
Oxnard Boulevard & Saviers Road Signal Improvements	\$	1,146,600	\$	1,146,600	\$ 869,780	0%	\$	-	\$	-
Oxnard Boulevard Bicycle Facilities Installation	\$	1,711,394	\$	-	\$ 1,396,548	100%	\$	314,846	\$	314,846
Rose Avenue Sidewalk Installation	\$	753,005	\$	-	\$ 434,000	100%	\$	319,005	\$	319,005
Traffic Circulation Improvements Fee Study	\$	500,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	5 500,000	\$	500,000
Traffic Intersection Capacity Improvements	\$	61,900,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	61,900,000	\$	61,900,000
Traffic Model Update	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	5 200,000	\$	200,000
Traffic Signal Modernization (ITS)	\$	6,800,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	6,800,000	\$	6,800,000
Traffic Signal Modifications	\$	1,878,600	\$	-	\$ 1,445,100	100%	\$	433,500	\$	433,500
Traffic Signal Timing	\$	850,000	\$	-	\$ -	100%	\$	850,000	\$	850,000
Ventura Boulevard Sidewalk - Rose Avenue to Balboa Street	\$	1,315,530	\$	-	\$ 914,000	100%	\$	6 401,530	\$	401,530
Total Project Cost	\$	84,908,415	\$	6,522,886	\$ 7,168,649		\$	74,195,881	\$	74,195,881
Less: Existing Fund 354 Balance ¹									\$	(7,121,156.00)
Total Remaining Cost									\$	67,074,725.00
							Ne	wADT's Generated		66,374.89
								Cost per Trip	\$	1,011.00

Harris & Associates



Source: The City of Oxnard Capital Improvement Plan, Oxnard Public Works Department.

¹ FY 2018/2019 Fund Balance.

METHODOLOGY TRAFFIC CIRCULATION FEE - DRAFT

- Off-peak Travel Adjustments:
 - 0.42 for Commercial and Medical Office
 - 0.53 for Hotels and Motels
 - 0.572 for Churches
 - 0.534 for New Car Sales
- A Pass-by Trip adjustment is Further Applied as Follows:
 - 40% for Commercial and Medical Office
 - 50% for Fast Food with Drive-thru
 - 56% for Gas Stations



Land Use	Discounted ADT ¹	Fee (1	e Per Unit ounded)	Admi Fee	inistrative (rounded)	Total Fee (rounded)		
Fee per ADT		\$	1,011	\$	40	\$ 1,051		
<u>(Fee per unit)</u>								
Single Family	9.44	\$	9,544	\$	382	\$ 9,926		
Mobile Home	5.00	\$	5,055	\$	202	\$ 5,257		
Condo	5.74	\$	5,803	\$	232	\$ 6,035		
Apartment	5.74	\$	5,803	\$	232	\$ 6,035		
Senior Housing	4.00	\$	4,044	\$	162	\$ 4,206		
<u>(Fee per 1,000 SF)</u>								
Office	9.74	\$	9,847	\$	394	\$ 10,241		
Medical Office	5.85	\$	5,914	\$	237	\$ 6,151		
General (C2)	6.34	\$	6,410	\$	256	\$ 6,666		
New Car Sales	5.95	\$	6,015	\$	241	\$ 6,256		
Church	3.98	\$	4,024	\$	161	\$ 4,185		
Restaurant	18.85	\$	19,057	\$	762	\$ 19,819		
Fast Food with Drive Thru	98.90	\$	99,988	\$	4,000	\$ 103,988		
(Fee per stall/room/fueling station)								
Self Service Car Wash (per stall)	26.24	\$	26,529	\$	1,061	\$ 27,590		
Gas Station (per fueling position)	42.27	\$	42,735	\$	1,709	\$ 44,444		
Hotel/Motel (Per Room)	4.87	\$	4,924	\$	197	\$ 5,121		
<u>(Fee per 1000 sf)</u>								
Light Industrial	4.96	\$	5,015	\$	201	\$ 5,216		
Warehouse	1.74	\$	1,759	\$	70	\$ 1,829		

¹ 10th Edition ADT discounted with adopted discounts for pass by and non-peak rates per 2009 fee resolution as further described. Peak travel adjustments are as follows: 0.42 for commercial and medical office, 0.53 for hotels/motesl, 0.572 for churches and 0.534 for new car sales. In addition, a pass-by trip adjustment is applied as follows: commercial and medical office 40%, fast food with drive-thrus 50%, and gas station 56%.

METHODOLOGY PUBLIC ART PROGRAM - DRAFT

Methodology

Adopted in-lieu Fee ENR CCI Increase¹

- Planned Facilities Method
- ENR of 2006 Fee
- Original fee established as 1% of project value
- Projects governed by a specific plan can install their Public Art Element in accordance with their specific plan.

Adopted ENR CCI Proposed

¹ Based on the ENR CCI for LA from June 2006 to January 2020.



l in-lieu Fee (per building SF)	\$ 0.20
[Increase ¹	42%
d Fee (per building SF)	\$ 0.28



METHODOLOGY **UTILITY UNDERGROUNDING IN-LIEU - DRAFT**

- Methodology
 - Master Plan Method
 - Uses fee from 1989 using same calculation with updated cost
 - Applies to small projects in the City Defined as less than 10 acres and less than 134,000 square feet of floor area
 - Meant to ensure small projects are not overly burdened



Total Acres in the City's Sphere	19,427.00 ac
Net Acres (23% reduction)	14,958.79 ac
Floor Area (40%)	5,983.52 ac
Floor Area (converted to SF)	260,641,956.96 sf
Total Length of Overhead Utilities in Arterials	236,130 ft
Average cost of Undergrounding	\$ 600 per LF
Total cost of Undergrounding	\$ 141,678,000
Cost per SF of building	\$ 0.54 per SF

COMPARISON TO EXISTING FEES - DRAFT

	(Growth Requirement Capital Fee			Park and Recreation Fee					Public A	Art F	Progran	n Fee	Storm Drainage Fee ³					
Land Use	Pro	posed	Existing 2005 Fee ¹	Percentage Increase		Proposed	Exi 197	isting 72 Fee	Percentage Increase	Pr	oposed	Ex 20	isting 06 Fee	Percentage Increase	Pro	oposed	Existing Fe	g 1991 ee	Percentage Increase
<u>Residential</u>	1	per Dwell	<u>ling Unit</u>			<u>per Dwellir</u>	ig Un	<u>it</u>		<u>per B</u>	Building SF	-			per gi	ross acre			
Single Family	\$	5,981	\$ 2,784	115%	\$	6,993	\$	250	2697%	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	\$	21,263	\$	10,645	100%
Multi-Family ²	\$	3,955	\$ 1,160	241%	\$	4,624	\$	250	1750%	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	\$	29,237	\$	14,637	100%
<u>Non-Residential</u>	<u>per 1,0</u>)00 Build	ing Square F	e <u>et</u>						<u>per B</u>	Building SF	- -							
Retail	\$	2.018	\$ 600	236%		n/a		n/a	n/a	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	<u>per gr</u> \$	29 237	\$	14 637	100%
Office	\$	2,409	\$ 600	302%		n/a		n/a	n/a	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	\$	29,237	\$	14,637	100%
Industrial	\$	853	\$ 600	42%		n/a		n/a	n/a	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	\$	29,237	\$	14,637	100%
	<u>per Ra</u>	<u>oom</u>								<u>per B</u>	Ruilding SF	-		_	<u>per gr</u>	ross acre			
Hotel	\$	603	n/a			n/a		n/a	n/a	\$	0.28	\$	0.20	40%	\$	29,237	\$	14,637	100%

¹ Based on an 2400 SF single family home and a 1000 SF Multi-Family Homes.

² For Storm Drainage fees, 6 units per acre and under are considered single family and above 6 units per acre are considered multi-family. ³ Includes area of on-site streets and alleys plus the area up to the center line of bordering streets and alleys.

Note: Slide has been modified since presentation - reducing the Government Facilities Fee





COMPARISON TO EXISTING FEES DRAFT



Traffic Circulation Fee

Land Use	P	roposed	E 2(xisting)07 Fee	Percentage Increase
per ADT	\$	1,051	\$	730	44%
per unit					
Single Family	\$	9,926	\$	8,030	24%
Mobile Home	\$	5,257	\$	3,650	44%
Condo	\$	6,035	\$	6,278	-4%
Apartment	\$	6,035	\$	4,745	27%
Senior Housing	\$	4,206	\$	2,920	44%
per 1,000 SF					
Office	\$	10,241	\$	7,899	30%
Medical Office	\$	6,151	\$	7,899	-22%
General (C2)	\$	6,666	\$	7,899	-16%
New Car Sales	\$	6,256	\$	5,030	24%
Church	\$	4,185	\$	3,803	10%
Restaurant	\$	19,819	\$	13,789	44%
Fast Food with Drive Thru	\$	103,988	\$	76,059	37%
per stall/room/fueling station					
Self Service Car Wash (per stall)	\$	27,590	\$	21,462	29%
Gas Station (per fueling station)	\$	44,444	\$	21,958	102%
Hotel/Motel (Per Room)	\$	5,121	\$	3,796	35%
per 1000 sf					
Light Industrial	\$	5,216	\$	5,088	3%
Warehouse	\$	1,829	\$	3,621	-49%
	-	,		,	



COMPARISON TO SURROUNDING AGENCIES - DRAFT

			Santa Clarita	l	Goleta	l	Ventura	Thous and Oaks	Camarillo	Santa Barbara	Average]	Proposed Oxnard
Single Family Dwellin	ng Unit	Fee Per Unit											
Growth		\$	4,263.04	\$	4,784.00	\$	2,114.00	n/a	\$ 46.00	\$ 1,416.00	\$ 2,524.61	\$	5,981.00
Traffic		\$	22,426.05	\$	15,641.69	\$	5,245.00	\$ 3,900.00	\$ 6,118.00	\$ 2,325.51	\$ 9,276.04	\$	9,926.00
Parks		\$	18,833.00	\$	12,073.00	\$	1,511.67	\$ 14,694.61		\$ 2,706.00	\$ 9,963.65	\$	6,993.00
	Tota	\$	45,522.09	\$	32,498.69	\$	8,870.67	\$ 18,594.61	\$ 6,164.00	\$ 6,447.51	\$19,682.93	\$	22,900.00
Multifamily Dwelling	Unit	Fee Per Unit											
Growth		\$	2,443.60	\$	3,831.00	\$	709.00	n/a	\$ 46.00	\$ 590.00	\$ 1,523.92	\$	3,955.00
Traffic		\$	16,459.43	\$	9,349.36	\$	3,645.00	\$ 3,900.00	\$ 4,251.67	\$ 1,315.44	\$ 6,486.82	\$	6,035.00
Parks		\$	12,454.00	\$	9,986.00	\$	1,033.67	\$ 5 12,595.38		\$ 1,077.50	\$ 7,429.31	\$	4,624.00
	Tota	\$	31,357.03	\$	23,166.36	\$	5,387.67	\$ 16,495.38	\$ 4,297.67	\$ 2,982.94	\$13,947.84	\$	14,614.00
Retail Development		<i>Fee per 1,00</i>	0 square feet										
Growth		\$	1,936.00	\$	1,461.00	\$	620.00	n/a	\$ -	\$ 770.00	\$ 957.40	\$	2,018.00
Traffic		\$	2,432.84	\$	48,620.11	\$	4,130.00	\$ 5 1,470.00	\$ 5,643.33	\$ 8,949.69	\$11,874.33	\$	6,666.00
Parks			n/a	\$	1,908.00		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 1,908.00		n/a
	Tota	\$	4,368.84	\$	51,989.11	\$	4,750.00	\$ 1,470.00	\$ 5,643.33	\$ 9,719.69	\$12,990.16	\$	8,684.00
Office Development		<i>Fee per 1,00</i>	0 square feet										
Growth		\$	1,936.00	\$	1,946.00	\$	960.00	n/a	\$ -	\$ 940.00	\$ 1,156.40	\$	2,409.00
Traffic		\$	2,432.84	\$	15,191.65	\$	4,415.00	\$ 6 1,470.00	\$ 6,357.67	\$ 2,701.35	\$ 5,428.08	\$	10,241.00
Parks			n/a	\$	2,562.00		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 2,562.00		n/a
	Tota	\$	4,368.84	\$	19,699.65	\$	5,375.00	\$ 1,470.00	\$ 6,357.67	\$ 3,641.35	\$ 6,818.75	\$	12,650.00
Industrial Developmen	nt	<i>Fee per 1,00</i>	0 square feet										
Growth		\$	1,936.00	\$	1,136.00	\$	440.00	n/a	\$ -	\$ 710.00	\$ 844.40	\$	853.00
Traffic		\$	1,459.70	\$	8,204.53	\$	1,436.67	\$ 5 1,470.00	\$ 3,177.67	\$ 1,479.87	\$ 2,871.41	\$	5,216.00
Parks			n/a	\$	1,005.00		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 1,005.00		n/a
	Tota	\$	3,395.70	\$	10,345.53	\$	1,876.67	\$ 1,470.00	\$ 3,177.67	\$ 2,189.87	\$ 3,742.57	\$	6,069.00

Notes:

Santa Clarita growth fee includes only police, fire and libarary. Goleta growth fee includes public administration, library, fire. Simi Valley Growth Fee includes new dwelling fee and fire fee. Assumes 2400 SF for single family home and 1000 SF for a multi-family home.



Note: Slide has been modified since presentation reducing the Government Facilities Fee



RESIDENTIAL USES - DRAFT





COMPARISON TO SURROUNDING AGENCIES NON-RESIDENTIAL USES - DRAFT



Note: Slide has been modified since presentation



COMPARISON TO SURROUNDING AGENCIES NON-RESIDENTIAL USES - DRAFT



Note: Slide has been modified since presentation





AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN-LIEU FEE SUMMARY OF CITY'S INCLUSIONARY ORDINANCE

- For-Sale Units (Projects with 10 or more units)
 - IO% of all units must be affordable to lower-income (80% AMI) households
 - All units are to be 3 bedrooms, but can be 2 bedroom if consistent with the rest of the project
- For-Rent Units (Projects with 10 or more units)
 - 5% of all units must be affordable to very low-income (50% AMI or below) households
 - 5% of all units must be affordable to lower-income (80% AMI) households
- All affordability covenants must be recorded for at least 20 years
- Current Ordinance allows an in-lieu fee with approval of City Council equivalent to 1% of sales price based on increments of \$50,000 for each unit. The current fee for rental units is \$7,735 per unit.



METHODOLOGY AFFORDABLE HOUSING FEE





Buyers/renters discretionary HH income levels spent on goods and services (new HH)

Affordability Gap - difference between development cost and affordable sales price (for sale unit)/max supportable debt (rental unit)

METHODOLOGY **DEMAND BASED SUMMARY**

Affordability Gap per Market-Rate Single Family									
	Affordable								
	Households	A	verage	Af	fordable Gap				
	Generated ¹	Affor	dability Gap		per Unit				
Very Low Income (0-50% AMI)	0.066	\$	333,823	\$	22,032				
Low Income (50-80% AMI)	0.124	\$	349,957	\$	43,395				
Moderate Income (80-120% AMI)	0.148	\$	227,594	\$	33,684				
Total	0.338			\$	99,111				

1) Affordable Households Generated per IMPLAN job generation rates assuming 1.87 workers per household.

Affordability Gap per Market-Kate For-Kent Multifamily								
Affordable								
Households	A	Average	A	fordable Gap				
Generated ¹	Affor	dability Gap		per Unit				
0.039	\$	333,823	\$	13,019				
0.061	\$	349,957	\$	21,347				
0.082	\$	227,594	\$	18,663				
0.182			\$	53,029				
	Multifamily Affordable Households Generated ¹ 0.039 0.061 0.082 0.182	Multifamily Affordable Households Affor Generated ¹ Affor 0.039 \$ 0.061 \$ 0.082 \$ 0.182 Image: Comparison of the second secon	Multifamily Affordable Households Average Generated ¹ Affordability Gap 0.039 \$ 333,823 0.061 \$ 349,957 0.082 \$ 227,594 0.182 Itematical	Multifamily Affordable Average Affordability Gap Households Average Affordability Gap Affordability Gap 0.039 \$ 333,823 \$ 0.061 \$ 349,957 \$ 0.082 \$ 227,594 \$ 0.182 \$ \$				

1.1:1:4.0 Maula Dute E. . D. ... M.

1) Affordable Households Generated per IMPLAN job generation rates assuming 1.87 workers per household.



	Affordable					
	Households	A	Average	Affordable Gaj		
	Generated ¹	Affor	dability Gap	F	er Unit	
Very Low Income (0-50% AMI)	0.051	\$	333,823	\$	17,025	
Low Income (50-80% AMI)	0.081	\$	349,957	\$	28,347	
Moderate Income (80-120% AMI)	0.109	\$	227,594	\$	24,808	
Total	0.241			\$	70,180	

Affordability Gap per Market-Rate For-Sale Multifamily

1) Affordable Households Generated per IMPLAN job generation rates assuming 1.87 workers per household.



METHODOLOGY **AFFORDABLE HOUSING FEE**

Type of new market rate residential units developed



Cost to develop for sale and rental units in City

Affordability Gap - difference between development cost and sales price (for sale)/max supportable debt (rental unit) for lower income HH

NZ

Affordability Gap x Inclusionary Housing Requirement (existing Ordinance)









AFFORDABLE HOUSING FEE ANALYSIS USING EXISTING ORDINANCE - DRAFT

Land Us e	% Afford.	Afford. Level	Development Costs	Affordable Maximum Mortgage/ Supportable Debt	Affordability Gap per Unit	Fee per Unit	Total Fee per Unit	Average of Other Cities	Proposed Fee
Single Family Detached For- Sale	10%	80% AMI or below	\$708,035	\$261,012	\$447,023	\$44,702	\$44,702	\$36,026	\$36,000
Multifamily For-Sale	10%	80% AMI or below	\$618,099	\$249,565	\$368,534	\$36,853	\$36,853	\$34,951	\$35,000
	5%	50-80% AMI	\$416,231	\$181,916	\$234,315	\$11,716			
Multifamily For-Rent	5%	50% AMI or below	\$416,231	\$82,408	\$333,823	\$16,691	\$28,407	\$23,147	\$28,000





COMPARISON TO SURROUNDING AGENCIES - DRAFT





- City of Ventura is working on a fee but information not yet available.
- Santa Paula is updating their fee but information not yet available.
- Moorpark, Camarillo, and Simi Valley do not have fees currently.

PARKING IN-LIEU BACKGROUND

- In 2018 Oxnard commissioned a study to research available parking in downtown
 - Residential) under updated parking standards in the updated downtown code.
 - Excess parking supply of 1,637 spaces.
- reduced parking requirements in the downtown districts.
 - To support this goal, the City is creating a parking in-lieu fee.
 - alternative transportation programs.



Study showed 2,702 publicly owned spaces in downtown and a demand for 1,065 spaces (excluding)

In an effort to create affordable housing and a more walkable/transit oriented downtown Oxnard has

Fee would be used to pay the debt on the existing garage and construct alternative transportation programs such as sidewalk improvements, curb mobility improvements, bike facilities, and other



PARKING IN-LIEU FEE METHODOLOGY

New Minimum Downtown Parking Standards

Residential Parking Requirements

Minimum

Maximum

Voluntary In-Lieu

Commercial Parking Requirements

Minimum

Voluntary In-Lieu

1.00 Space / Dwelling Unit1.00 Space / Dwelling UnitMaximum of 50% In-Lieu

1.00 Space / 1,000 square feet Maximum of 100% In-Lieu



Current Parking Analysis

Current Commercial and Religious Space		843,391	Square Fee
Current Government Space		220,780	Square Fee
Total Non-residential Square Footage		1,064,171	Square Fee
Current Non-residential Demand	1 Space/1,000 sf ¹	844	Spaces
Current Government Demand	1 Space/1,000 sf ¹	221	Spaces
Current Residential Demand ²		-	
Current Non-residential Downtown Park	ing Demand	1,065	Spaces
Current Public Parking Supply		2,702	spaces
Current Downtown Parking Demand		1,065	spaces
Current Excess Parking		1,637	spaces

¹ Based on New Parking Code

² Existing residential parking supply was omitted from the 2018 Stantec Downtown Oxnard Mobility and Parking Management Plan Update and is assumed to meet current parking demand



PARKING IN-LIEU METHODOLOGY

Parking Garage Cost Survey

City	Garage Size	Cos	t per Space	Year Completed	Adjuste	d Cost per Space
Oxnard, CA	440	\$	24,000	2011	\$	29,900
Santa Clarita, CA	372	\$	42,000	2018	\$	42,700
LAX, Los Angeles, CA	4,700	\$	44,500	Estimated 2021	\$	44,500
San Diego Airport, CA	4,700	\$	44,200	2018	\$	44,950
				Average	\$	44,050

¹Oxnard parking garage costs did not include land purchase costs so it was excluded from the average





PARKING IN-LIEU - DRAFT METHODOLOGY

Land Use

Non-residential Residential Total Demand

Parking Garage Debt Parking Garage Debt per Sp Proposed Fee



Expected Off-Site	
Parking Demand	

	226 spaces
	385 spaces
	611 spaces
	\$ 6,767,423
pace	\$ 11,076
	\$ 10,000



COMPARISON TO SURROUNDING AGENCIES - DRAFT







NEXT STEPS

> Incorporate feedback from today and prepare final draft reports

- > April 28th Finance & Governance, Public Works & Transportation, Housing & Economic **Development Committees**
- > May 19th City Council and first public hearing
- June 2nd Second reading of ordinances
- Fees effective 60 days following final action by City Council









THANK YOU

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

E-mail:

Alison.Bouley@WeAreHarris.com

Phone: 949-536-2513

